Filed 06/30/2004

Page 1 of 3

## Grewal Decl., Exhibit H



**ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE** UNITED ST Patent and Trademark Office ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Paper No. 8

ELAINE K LEE BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P O BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610

In re Application of: Darren J. Davis, et al Application No.: 09/322,677 Filed May 28, 1999 For SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INFLUENCING A POSITION ON A SEARCH RESULT LIST GENERATED BY A COMPUTER NETWORK SEARCH ENGINE

haliska

Director's Unice Group 2700

**DECISION ON PETITION TO** MAKE SPECIAL

Document 179-11

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C F.R § 1 102, filed October 25, 1999, to make the above-identified application special

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the procedure set forth in M P.E P. § 708 02, item II Infringement

A grantable petition under 37 C F R § 1 102(d), M.P E P § 708.02, item II: Infringement, must be accompanied by the required fee and a statement alleging

- (1) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use,
- (2) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product, or method with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and
- (3) that he or she has made or caused to be made a careful and thorough search of the prior art or has a good knowledge or the pertinent prior art

Further, Applicant must provide one copy of each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record

Serial Number: 09/322,677

On Petition

Page 2

The petition is **GRANTED** 

The application will then be forwarded to the examiner for expedited prosecution.

If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, and he or she should make a rigid search for such, he or she is authorized to do so for the next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a bona fide effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose

If the examiner finds any interfering application for the same subject matter, he or she should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such application that she is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference.

Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application become involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

The petition is granted to the extent indicated

Kenneth A Wieder

Special Program Examiner

Technology Center 2700

Communications & Information Processing

(703) 305-4710